

Adam Watts

From: Jennifer Margetts
Sent: 21 March 2019 08:17
To: Town Planning
Cc: Adam Watts
Subject: FW: Planning application 2018/3810

Letter of representation

From: Freda Marlow [REDACTED]
Received: 20/03/2019 11:26
To: Contact Us; Contact us
Cc: CAS-216272-R2N0C8; CAS-249271-K9Z4D1; CAS-249272-O8S6C0; CAS-295272-M3G9M1; CAS-295376-J2W0K0; CAS-51019-M0R3K8; [REDACTED] Michael Axton; Mike Axton;
[REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application 2018/3810

Dear Ms Margetts

In view of the very short consultation period, especially as I keep receiving amendments to the application, the latest dated 9/3/2019, I attach my objections to the above planning application to meet your deadline of 21st March.

Frankly I am amazed that this issue has dragged on for so many years and it points to the fact that consideration of a planning application for a proposal to develop close to one of the most important buildings in England, namely Hampton Court Palace, is beyond the powers of Elmbridge Council and complicated by the Palace being in another borough. A higher authority is needed to deal with this issue.

I would just mention that Hampton Court Way was, this morning, blocked with very slow moving traffic from before 7am 'til after 9am and this situation occurs day after day, including weekends so, without a strategic plan to deal with traffic on both sides of the Thames, roads will be blocked for hours during the day with ever more air pollution, if this application is approved.

It must be rejected.

Regards

Roger Marlow FRICS



Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

This email, and any attachments, is strictly confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for use by the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender and delete it from your system. The opinions expressed in this email are not necessarily those of Elmbridge Borough Council.

Ms Jennifer Margetts

Planning Services, Civic Centre, High Street, Esher, Surrey, KT10 9SD

Ref: Planning Application Number 2018/3810

OBJECT

Dear Ms Margetts

I would like to **OBJECT** to the Jolly Boatman- Hampton Court Station development, application number 2018/3810

Because:

The proposed design, appearance and materials are unsympathetic to the area (especially along the Hampton Court road (West elevations) and is not in keeping with the 'Kent Conservation area'.

The views to and from the Palace will be irreparably harmed. *N.B.!*

The height and density of the development will overwhelm its environs. It's more suitable for an inner city landscape. It's 5ft 10" higher than permitted limits. The stated policy is 3 storeys plus pitched roof (50 ft).

There is very strong opposition to the use of Cigarette Island being offered to the developer to use as a car park for the duration of the construction, including safety concerns about pedestrian access shared with construction/station vehicles.

The Alexpo CGI images presented to the public are deceptive. Fully mature trees (50 ft) are hiding the bulk of the hotel and flats.

The development has little evidence of renewable energy sources in an age of Global warming, no solar panels, heat exchange systems, grey water recycling, green roofs.

The parking allocation is inadequate for the station, flats, hotel, tourism and retail. Local residential streets will become more congested with parked cars associated with the station and tourism.

The development will generate a large volume of traffic on already heavily congested set of junctions, increasing CO2 & NOx in an already polluted area.

The target construction time of 2 years will involve out of hours machinery and traffic by contractors desperate to avoid penalty clauses, causing considerable noise and constant heavy traffic (removal of soil from the underground excavations)

The number of affordable units (12%) on offer is lower than stated 40% EBC policy

The Hotel is not needed for a day trip location, (recently hotels have closed) We do not need a low budget hotel. There are plentiful hotel spaces nearby. The proposed design is too close to the pavement

please add comments;

The lack of major road improvements e.g. new bridge across the Thames and few flyovers to replace roundabouts on the Richmond side, any major development of the station must be rejected. The appropriate way station, can put in a new one. This is also to prevent a new community parking in adjoining roads e.g. Palace Rd & Wood Rd.

Your name

Roger Margetts

Please confirm you authorise the HCRC team to post your **OBJECTION** on your behalf

Your signature

[Redacted Signature] FR105

Date

20/3/2019

Your address

*46 Southfields
East Molesey*

Your post code

KT8 0BA

P.S. From my house I see Hampton Court Rd blocked day after day at peak times incl. Sat, Sun & Sundays!