

**Our reference:** COM567464644

**Application number:** 2023/2860

**Application address:** Land at 12 and Land West of 10 to 26 Claygate Lane Esher Surrey KT10 0AQ

**Name:** Mr Vasylyshyn

**Address:** 18 Claygate Lane, Esher, Surrey, KT10 0AQ

**Comment type:** You object to the planning application

**Date of comment:** 06 Dec 2023

**Comment:** We strongly object to this unreasonable planning proposal on following grounds that warrant careful consideration and reassessment :

1. Removal of WOODlands would irreversibly impact unique character of Hinchley WOOD, not to mention overdevelopment.
2. Irreversible damage to ecosystem and bats population, families of which we saw increasing over last 2 years. Disruption to biodiversity - a matter of paramount importance for our community and the environment.
3. Trees with TPO`s are inaccurately indicated and some are missing. For example, large oak tree T21B /TP013 is actually located 4m from boundary line meaning it will make plots 6 & 7 unfeasible.
4. Flood risk. The proposed scheme will decrease natural drainage of rain water causing risk of flooding to new development and neighboring properties, as Claygate lane is already in high risk flood area.
5. Proposed development is unviable given the number and the actual position of protected trees. Waste drainage - Plots 8 & 9 would be located approx. 145m from main sewer making impossible adequate draining. Traffic within the development - narrow street would make movement of potential 15-20 vehicles impossible. Waste management - large lorries would struggle to maneuver into development.
6. Traffic issues. Adding additional junction to Claygate Lane would cause permanent effect on traffic flow and would tail back to bus route on Manor Rd. N. and implement safety risk to students in HWP and HWS. Living on Claygate lane ourselves we do witness standstill traffic every day during school hours, creating high safety risk for children especially. Claygate lane is busy up until 6-7pm.
7. Loss of Privacy in Back-to-Back Gardens. The development poses a threat to the privacy that is integral to our neighborhood's character, and this aspect requires careful consideration in the planning process.
7. Fire prevention. Narrow service road would impose serious risk for fire engines.

In conclusion, the cumulative impact of these issues demands a reconsideration of the proposed

development's approval and trust that our concerns will be given the careful consideration they merit.