

Our reference: COM567846658

Application number: 2023/2860

Application address: Land at 12 and Land West of 10 to 26 Claygate Lane Esher Surrey KT10 0AQ

Name: Mr Armstrong

Address: 20 Claygate Lane, Esher, Surrey, KT10 0AQ

Comment type: You object to the planning application

Date of comment: 07 Dec 2023

Comment: I object to the planning application on the following grounds:

1. Biodiversity Threat: The development disrupts the delicate balance, posing a threat to local biodiversity.
2. Habitat Destruction and Tree Loss: Removal of 35-45 mature trees jeopardizes stability.
3. Wildlife Disruption: Jeopardizing habitats of pollinators, beetles, squirrels, bats, and local foxes upsets the ecological balance.
4. Landowner's Irresponsible Actions: Recent chainsaw use to cut a mature oak tree and destroy habitats raises concerns.
5. Flood Risk: Altering flood dynamics endangers our community and the environment.
6. Parking Insufficiency: Lack of parking foresight prioritizes quantity over community well-being.
7. Climate Change Considerations: The development ignores sustainability and environmental stewardship.
8. Community Offer Rebuffed for Profit: Rejecting an offer exceeding the land's value shows a lack of commitment to responsible development.
9. Imbalance of Harms and Benefits: Irreversible damage to local ecology and absence of affordable housing question the development's intentions.
10. Unsightly Landscaping Proposals: Proposed landscaping prioritizes expediency over aesthetics and environmental harmony.
11. Impact on the Historic Manor Lodge: A vague plan raises concerns about potential harm to the historic Manor Lodge.
12. Loss of Privacy in Back-to-Back Gardens: The development threatens the privacy integral to our neighborhood's character.
13. Traffic Issues in Claygate Lane: Traffic concerns are compounded by increased housing units; urging council collaboration with police to understand reported road traffic incidents.
14. Harm of Proposed New Street Lighting: New street lighting must be critically assessed to avoid unnecessary harm to the environment and community well-being.
15. Vague and Sketchy Plan: The plan's vagueness raises concerns about transparency and informed public comment.