

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/K3605/W/24/3337473

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/K3605/W/24/3337473

Appeal By THE RIDGE (OATLANDS) LLP

Site Address
16-18 Oatlands Drive
Weybridge
KT13 9JL

SENDER DETAILS

Name MRS JOHANA URIBE VALENCIA

Address
28 Ashley Close
WALTON-ON-THAMES
KT12 1BJ

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I'm writing to object on the proposed development, in its current terms, for the following reasons:

- The overspill parking from any new developments which will gravitate to Ashley Close since there essentially allocated only one parking place per flat. It is pretty obvious that this will become a recurring problem and of increasing magnitude, given the developers have already built a site with same restrictions. Overspill parking is very common in newly built sites in Walton-on-thames (e.g. the Spitfire Chase street is already facing this problem), and it's extremely concerning that the Council appears to be ignoring this problem which will lead to escalation of driving safety incidents, as the residents of Ashley Close witnessed during the construction of the developers first building site. The development is over massed/too high a density for the residential area of Oatlands Drive. (an issue raised by EBC)
- 2. The development does not complement but negatively detracts the Oatlands Drive residential street scene.(an issue raised by EBC)
- 3. The development negatively impacts the view from the Engine River Greenbelt area.(an issue raised by EBC)
- 4. The developer has repeatedly flouted EBC planning compliance.